# Results of the PINS-BOOM intervention evaluation

# PRE-BOOM-questionnaire

The pre-BOOM questionnaire was answered by 23 peers. The post-Boom questionnaire was answered by 22 peers and 2 crew members. The paired sample t-test analysis were done with 21 participants who answered both questionnaires.


# Number & Projects of Participants

	Drug Scouts	Check!n	Energy Control	DrogArt	Safe 'n Sound	Unity	Crew	Total
Pre	4	4	4	3	4	4		23
Post	5	3	4	3	4	3	2	24
Paired samples	4	3	4	3	4	3		21

# Frequency of used communication types by PINS participants

Pre-BOOM, N = 23


Q: "How are you communicating with the other peers of the PINS project before BOOM festival?"



## Frequency of used communication types by PINS participants

Post-BOOM, N = 24

Q: "How have you been communicating with the other peers of the PINS project before and during the intervention at BOOM festival?"




When comparing the two graphs you can see an increase in communication in general, due to an increase in communication with the international team. Especially eMail international (15  $\rightarrow$  19), telephone international (2  $\rightarrow$  15), WhatsApp international (5  $\rightarrow$  10) and PINS forum international (7  $\rightarrow$  12) where used more.

"Other" local as well as international was face to face contact.

(Under construction)

Pre-BOOM: Mean of perceived effectiveness of communication types

1= not effective at all 5 = very effective




Face to face communication is perceived most effective (M=5,0; N=2) followed by telephone with the local team (M=4,40) and eMail, local (M=4,27) as well as international (M=4,33). Skype meetings with the local team are perceived least effective (M=2,0; N=1).

(Under construction)

Pre-BOOM: Mean of perceived difficulty of communications types

1= very easy / 5 = very challenging



Skype meetings with the local team are perceived as very challenging (M=4,0; N=1). Followed by Skype meetings with the international team (M=3,0) and calling with the international team (M=3,0). Communication via whatsapp with the local team (M=1,36), as well as calling and emailing with the local team (M=1,45) is perceived as easy.

(Under construction)

Pre-BOOM: Mean of perceived help during preparation by using this type of communication

Pre-BOOM & post-BOOM: perceived belonging to international team by using this type of communication

Pre-BOOM & post-BOOM: intention to continue with this communication

# Comparison pre- and post-BOOM

# **Community building**

N=21

1: totally disagree / 5: totally agree

Question	Pre-mean	Post-mean	Difference	Significant
I have the feeling I belong to an	4.29	4.48	+0.19	No
international party scene	4.23	4.40	+0.19	NO
I have the feeling I belong to an				
international peer community working in	4.14	4.57	+0.43	Yes
nightlife settings				
I have the feeling I'm part of Peer	4.10	4.57	.0.40	NI -
Intervention in Nightlife Settings (PINS)	4.10	4.57	+0.48	No
I have the feeling I'm a member of the				
Nightlife Empowerment and Well being	3.90	4.33	+0.43	Yes
Network				
I know about diffferent prevention youth	4.0	4.71	+0.71	Yes
projects in nightlife settings in Europe	4.0	4.71	+0.71	res
I know about different (party) cultures, the	4.29	4.48	+0.19	No
similarties and the differences	4.23	4.40	+0.19	NO
I intent to work abroad within an	4.62	4.76	+0.14	No
international peer team in the future	4.02	4.76	+0.14	NO
I intent to take an initiating and active role				
in creating a sustainanle international peer	4.0	4.0	0.00	No
network				
I intent to motivate other peers to get	4.19	4.62	+0.43	Yes
involved	4.19	4.02	+0.43	162

# **Work ethics**

N=21

1: totally disagree / 5: totally agree

Question	Pre-mean	Post-mean	Difference	Significant
I know about the work ethics within the	4.29	4.71	+0.43	Yes
international team	4.23	4.71	+0.45	163
I'm confident in my skills to work according				
to the work ethics of the international	4.43	4.86	+0.43	yes
team				

# Drug checking

N=21

1: totally disagree / 5: totally agree

Question	Pre-mean	Post-mean	Difference	Significant
I know how to accept samples and report results with harm reduction advice back to	3.76	4.67	+0.91	Yes
users				
I know how to accept samples and report				_
results with harm reduction advice back to	4.24	4.62	+0.38	yes
users				

# First Aid

#### N=21

1: totally disagree / 5: totally agree

Question	Pre-mean	Post-mean	Difference	Significant	
I know how to respond in case of a medical	3.76	4.24	+0.48	No	
incident	3.70	4.24	10.40	110	
I'm confident in my skills to respond in case	3.76	4.05	+0.29	No	
of a medical incident	3.70	4.05	+0.23	NO	
I know how to recognize if immediate	4.05	4.33	+0.29	No	
medical help is needed	4.05	4.33	+0.23	NO	
I'm confident in my skills to recognize if	4.00	4.24	+0.24	No	
immediate medical help is needed	4.00	4.24	+0.24	NO	
I know what the recovery position is	4.48	4.95	+0.48	Yes	
I'm confident in my skills on how to put	4.33	4.81	+0.48	Yes	
someone in the recovery position	4.33	4.01	+0.40	165	

# **Project Culture**

N=21

1: totally disagree / 5: totally agree

Question	Pre-mean	Post-mean	Difference	Significant
I know about diffferent prevention youth	3.86	4.57	+0.71	Yes
projects in nightlife settings in Europe	3.00	4.57	+0.71	163
know about different (party) cultures, the	2.00	4.20	+0.45	Vos
similarties and the differences	3.90	4.38	+0.45	Yes

# Kosmicare

N=21 1: totally disagree / 5: totally agree

Question	Pre-mean	Post-mean	Difference	Significant
I know how to recognize a psychedelic	4.38	4.76	+0.38	Yes
emergency	4.30	4.70	+0.38	163
I'm confident in my skills on how to	4.14	4.57	+0.43	Yes
recognize a psychedelic emergency	4.14	4.57	+0.45	res
I have sufficient knowledge related to				
psychedelics (differences, risks,	4.43	4.52	+0.10	No
harmreduction)				
I'm confident in my skills to give harm				
reduction advise about psychedelics to	4.43	4.57	+0.14	No
visitors				
I have sufficient knowledge related to NPS	3.90	4.38	+0.48	Yes
(differences, risks, harmreduction)	3.30	4.36	TU.40	res
I'm confident in my skills to give harm	4.05	4.38	+0.33	Yes
reduction advise about NPS to visitors	4.05	4.30	+0.55	162

# English

## N=21

1: totally disagree / 5: totally agree


Question	Pre-mean	Post-mean	Difference	Significant	
I feel confident in speeking English with the	4.57	4.71	+0.14	No	
other peers	4.37	4.71	+0.14	NO	
I feel confident in expressing myself in	4.62	4.76	+0.14	No	
English to the partygoers	4.02	4.70	+0.14	No	

## Other:

# Pre-BOOM, N=23


# 1 = totally disagree / 5 = totally agree


	Mean	St. D.
I've worked abroad with an international peer team before	3.70	1.663
I know what the objectives of the PINS project are	4.39	0.583
I know what to expect during the intervention at BOOM	3.57	0.945
I know what is expected from me during the intervention at BOOM	3.91	0.793
I feel well prepared	3.96	0.638



# Post-BOOM, N=24 1 = totally disagree / 5 = totally agree

	Mean	St. D.
I enjoyed the experience	4.75	0.847
I have been able to share my knowledge and experiences with	4.63	0.770
peers from other projects	4.03	0.770
I have learned from the knowledge and experiences from the peers	4.71	0.859
from other projects	4.71	0.639
I can use these new learned competences in situations not related	Δ 71	0.859
to the PINS project.	4./1	0.659





# **Evaluation of the training at BOOM festival**

1 = totally disagree / 5 = totally agree

	Mean	St. D.
The training goals were clear	4.42	0.776
The training contents were put in a clear way	4.25	0.847
The training timetable was approrpiate	3.54	0.977
I liked the team spirit created during the training	4.62	0.576
The training was useful for my work at BOOM festival	4.45	0.588
I learned new things in this training	4.67	0.565
The volunteers participation was encouraged	4.58	0.654
The time for questions was appropriate	4.33	0.816

